

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/736,650 | 12/16/2003 | James Wong | SUPERCON 23 | 5354 | |
| 27667 | 27667 7590 07/19/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| HAYES, SOLOWAY P.C. 3450 E. SUNRISE DRIVE, SUITE 140 TUCSON, AZ 85718 | | | NGUYEN, DONGHAI D | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| · | | | 3729 | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | | | | | |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/736,650 | WONG, JAMES | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Donghai D. Nguyen | 3729 | |

| | Donghai D. Nguyen | 3729 | |
|---|---|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | o avoid dismissal of th | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | nsideration and/or search (see NC | | ecause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | jected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s |) : | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | · | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence is | of be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attacl | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | |
| 13. Other: | | | |

Application No. 10/736,650

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1 as amended contains subject matter such as "twisting and rolling to flatten the elements into thin ribbon" (line 12) which raise new issue that require further consideration and/or search. Further "the elements" appears to raise 112 issue because it is unclear whether "the elements" here directed to that as recited in step b or that form as result of step d in combination with step e which is part of the secondary billet.

DN

July 12, 2006